

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/773,043		02/05/2004	Ralph Carpinella	CARPIN/115/US	5445
2543	7590	06/16/2005		EXAMINER	
ALIX YA 750 MAIN		STAS LLP	MAH, CHUCK Y		
SUITE 140				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HARTFO	HARTFORD, CT 06103			3677	
				DATE MAILED: 06/16/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/773,043	CARPINELLA, R	ALPH				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Chuck Mah	3676					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress				
THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stately received by the Office later than three months after the mand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, n eply within the statutory minimum od will apply and will expire SIX (6 lute, cause the application to beco	nay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.				
Status								
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex part</i> e Quayle, 1935	C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the applicati	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)Ш	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requiremen	t.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square a	ccepted or b) objecte	d to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in at	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	•				
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr			• •				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the atta	ched Office Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	gn priority under 35 U.S	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
۵,۱	1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			l Stage				
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for á l	st of the certified copies	not received.					
Attaches	Val							
Attachment 1) Notice	c(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🖂 Inten	view Summary (PTO-413)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Pape	r No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 · No(s)/Mail Date <u>1 page</u> . ———		e of Informal Patent Application (PT ::	O-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/773,043 Page 2

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. "pellethane thermoplastic polyurethane" is not found in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- 3. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Balchunas (3,742,552). See element 20.
- 4. Claims 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hage (3,641,620).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,043

Art Unit: 3676

6. Claims 1-7, 15-18 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being

unpatentable over Ferencz (6,405,982) in view of Hage '620.

'982 discloses the invention as claimed but for the sliding surface formed of elastomeric material. '982 forms the sliding surface with plastic. '620 teaches that the sliding surface (16a) can be of plastic or elastomeric material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the sliding surface of '982 with elastomeric material since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of plastic and elastomeric material for their use in the furniture glide art and the selection of any of these known material to form the glide would have been an obvious functional equivalent.

Page 3

As to the specific elastomeric materials and their hardness (claims 2-7, 16-18), it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose other elastomeric material such as polyurethane, thermoplastic or polyurethane resin with a Shore D hardness 50-60 to form the sliding surface, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use, such as where strength, durability and resistance to cracking and warpage are desired, as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416*.

7. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carpinella (5,991,974) in view of Hage '620.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,043

Art Unit: 3676

'974 discloses the invention as claimed but for the sliding surface formed of elastomeric material. '974 forms the sliding surface with plastic. '620 teaches that the sliding surface (16a) can be of plastic or elastomeric material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the sliding surface of '974 with elastomeric material since the examiner takes Official Notice of the equivalence of plastic and elastomeric material for their use in the furniture glide art and the selection of any of these known material to form the glide would have been an obvious functional equivalent.

Page 4

As to the specific elastomeric materials and their hardness, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose other elastomeric material such as polyurethane, thermoplastic or polyurethane resin with a Shore D hardness of 50-60 to form the sliding surface, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use, such as where strength, durability and resistance to cracking and warpage are desired, as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416*.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3676

CM

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck Mah whose telephone number is (571)272-7059. The examiner can normally be reached on 5/4-9.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Shackelford can be reached on (571)272-7049. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit 3676